



THE PROJECT *for*
9/11 TRUTH AND
ACCOUNTABILITY

Outline of
THE
9/11
TRUTH AND
ACCOUNTABILITY
REPORT

FOR SUBMISSION TO THE US SENATE PERMANENT SUBCOMMITTEE ON
INVESTIGATIONS AND THE FEDERAL SPECIAL GRAND JURY IN WASHINGTON, DC

FIRST EDITION

SEPTEMBER 2026



THE PROJECT *for*
9/11 TRUTH AND
ACCOUNTABILITY

Outline of
THE
9/11
TRUTH AND ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT



FOR SUBMISSION TO THE US SENATE PERMANENT SUBCOMMITTEE ON
INVESTIGATIONS AND THE FEDERAL SPECIAL GRAND JURY IN WASHINGTON, DC

FIRST EDITION • SEPTEMBER 2026

AUTHORS

Ted Walter
Dr. Piers Robinson
Mick Harrison, Esq.
Captain Raul Angulo
Richard Gage, AIA
Allan Merrill
Jason Bermas

RESEARCH ASSISTANTS

Mike Berger
David Cole

© 2026 The Project for 9/11 Truth and Accountability. All rights reserved.

Graphic Design by Patrick M. Design



THE PROJECT *for*
9/11 TRUTH AND
ACCOUNTABILITY

September 8, 2026

Dear fellow citizens,

Today we release for public review the outline of the forthcoming ***9/11 Truth and Accountability Report***. We aim to publish the full report later this year. Once complete, it will be a living document that will serve as a vehicle for continued citizen-led investigation and accounting of the events of 9/11 as well as expanded public education and advocacy. We intend for this work to continue until a new, full-scale, independent investigation with meaningful legal powers is finally undertaken by one or more responsible government authorities.

Twenty-five years after the seminal event of the 21st century, the window of opportunity for genuine justice and accountability remains open, but not for long. Now is the time to gather the relevant facts and evidence into a single comprehensive document—one that can provide the public with the fullest possible accounting of what did and did not happen on September 11, 2001, and also become the informational foundation for any new investigation.

The purpose of the outline we are releasing today is to give the public a clear view of the direction and scope of the forthcoming report as we mark the 25th anniversary of September 11.

This report is the primary undertaking of the newly formed **Project for 9/11 Truth and Accountability**. This project emerged in response to U.S. Senator Ron Johnson's invitation one year ago for the 9/11 research community to assemble its best evidence for presentation before the U.S. Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations, which he chairs. We thank Senator Johnson for providing the impetus for this endeavor, which we have come to regard as essential both for properly documenting the relevant facts and evidence and for maximizing the likelihood of a real and effective government investigation.

The report we are preparing is the product of a quarter century of research, of unflagging efforts to obtain and make public critical information, and of advocacy by countless thousands of individuals. In particular, were it not for the grieving family members who demanded answers and accountability in the immediate aftermath of September 11, much of the information contained in this report would never have been created or come to light. We stand on their shoulders and on the shoulders of all the researchers and advocates who followed their lead.

It is our deeply held conviction that the remarkable efforts of the past 25 years will ultimately lead to genuine truth and accountability—and we will work tirelessly toward that goal.

Sincerely,

The Members of the Project for 9/11 Truth and Accountability

ABOUT THE PROJECT FOR 9/11 TRUTH AND ACCOUNTABILITY

The Project for 9/11 Truth and Accountability is a consortium of organizations, independent researchers, and technical and legal experts formed in 2026 for the purpose of pursuing legislative and legal means of establishing a complete, accurate, and evidence-based account of the events of September 11, 2001, and advancing appropriate measures for achieving justice and accountability.

The Project's first and primary undertaking is the preparation of a comprehensive report for submission to the U.S. Senate Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations. Drawing upon the extensive body of 9/11 research developed over the past quarter century, the report presents evidence and analysis that, in the judgment of the Project's members, warrant further investigation by Congress and other appropriate authorities. It is intended to assist the Subcommittee by consolidating the strongest available evidence, highlighting unresolved questions, and providing a roadmap for further investigation.

The report will serve as a living document that will be updated annually to incorporate newly available evidence and analysis as well as revise previous findings where warranted by the evolving evidentiary record. A new edition will be published each September, reflecting the Project's continuing development and evaluation of evidence and its commitment to ensuring that policymakers, stakeholders, and the public have access to the most current and comprehensive assessment of the evidence concerning the events of September 11, 2001.

PARTICIPATING ORGANIZATIONS

The International Center for 9/11 Justice is a nonprofit research and educational institute dedicated to establishing an accurate, evidence-based account of the events of September 11, 2001. The Center organizes, preserves, and disseminates the extensive body of 9/11 research developed over the past quarter century while conducting new multidisciplinary research into unresolved aspects of the attacks and their aftermath. It also supports legal and public education initiatives aimed at advancing truth, accountability, and informed public understanding of the events of September 11, 2001, and their far-reaching consequences.

Firefighters for 9/11 Truth is an alliance of active and retired fire service professionals dedicated to advancing a new investigation into the destruction of the World Trade Center. Founded in 2008, the organization seeks to honor the 343 firefighters who lost their lives on September 11, 2001, by promoting public awareness of evidence it believes warrants renewed official scrutiny. Through firefighter outreach, public education, documentary films, technical resources, and engagement with elected officials, Firefighters for 9/11 Truth works to foster informed dialogue, support scientific and investigative inquiry, and advance truth, accountability, and public safety.

CONTRIBUTING AUTHORS

Ted Walter is executive director of the International Center for 9/11 Justice. He has been engaged in 9/11 research and public education since 2006 and, since 2015, has authored numerous articles, reports, and technical publications examining the events of September 11, 2001, including *Beyond Misinformation: What Science Says About the Destruction of World Trade Center Buildings 1, 2, and 7*; *World Trade Center Physics: Why Constant Acceleration Disproves Progressive Collapse*; and the 2020 Request for Correction seeking correction to the National Institute of Standards and Technology’s final report on the collapse of World Trade Center Building 7. He has also co-authored peer-reviewed research on the collapse of Building 7 and has overseen major research initiatives, including the University of Alaska Fairbanks computer modeling study of Building 7. He holds a Master of Public Policy from the University of California, Berkeley.

Dr. Piers Robinson is research director of the International Center for 9/11 Justice, co-editor of the *Journal of 9/11 Studies*, and principal author of the 2026 study “Manual or Automated? A Flight Simulation Study and Analysis of Reported Aircraft Maneuvers on September 11, 2001.” Previously, he held academic appointments at the University of Sheffield, the University of Manchester, and the University of Liverpool, specializing in political communication and international politics. Dr. Robinson is the author of *The CNN Effect: The Myth of News, Foreign Policy and Intervention* and lead author of *Pockets of Resistance: British News Media, War and Theory in the 2003 Invasion of Iraq*, as well as co-editor of the *Routledge Handbook of Media, Conflict and Security*. His research has been published in leading peer-reviewed journals in political science, communication, media studies, and peace research. He received his PhD from the University of Bristol.

Mick Harrison is a public interest attorney with more than three decades of experience litigating whistleblower, Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), government oversight, environmental protection, and False Claims Act cases. He previously served as co-director of the EPA Watch Program at the Government Accountability Project and, more recently, as litigation director for the Lawyers’ Committee for 9/11 Inquiry. Over the past decade, he has represented the Lawyers’ Committee, 9/11 family members, Ground Zero responders, 9/11 researcher David Cole, and Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth in federal litigation relating to the events of September 11, 2001. His work has included efforts to obtain government records through FOIA, compel federal agencies to carry out statutory duties related to 9/11 evidence, seek correction to the National Institute of Standards and Technology’s final report on the collapse of World Trade Center Building 7, and enforce the statutory requirement that evidence submitted by the Lawyers’ Committee be presented to a federal grand jury. He graduated *summa cum laude* from the University of the District of Columbia David A. Clarke School of Law.

Captain Raul Angulo is spokesperson for Firefighters for 9/11 Truth and a retired Seattle Fire Department captain, emergency medical technician, and veteran of the U.S. Coast Guard

with more than four decades of experience in the fire service. During his career, he served on the Seattle Fire Department's Hazardous Materials Unit, Wildland Firefighting Team, and FEMA/Puget Sound Urban Search and Rescue Team. Captain Angulo is the author of the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) textbook *Engine Company Fireground Operations* (4th ed.) and has written more than 400 articles for leading fire service publications, including *Fire Engineering*, *Fire Apparatus and Emergency Equipment*, *Firehouse*, and *International Firefighter*. In 2024, he authored the article "Did World Trade Center Building 7 Really Collapse Due to an Office Fuel Load Fire?" in the *International Fire and Safety Journal*. He serves on the editorial advisory board of *Fire Apparatus and Emergency Equipment* magazine.

Richard Gage, AIA, is a licensed architect who founded Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth in 2006 and has continued his research and educational work independently since 2021. Under his leadership, Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth grew to include more than 3,600 architects and engineers calling for a new investigation into the destruction of the World Trade Center buildings. As an architect, he worked on most types of building construction, including numerous fire-proofed, steel-framed buildings and a large mixed-use urban development comprising approximately 1.2 million square feet of retail, office, and parking facilities. He has delivered more than 1,000 public presentations worldwide on the technical evidence concerning the destruction of the World Trade Center and appeared in hundreds of television, radio, and podcast interviews. His extensive study of the scientific and engineering evidence has made him one of the most widely recognized public educators on the subject.

Allan Merrill is a retired research analyst, portfolio manager, and partner at one of the largest hedge funds in the world. His research into the events of September 11, 2001, has focused on the activities and movements of the alleged hijackers, government knowledge and facilitation of the alleged hijackers prior to the attacks, and evidence concerning whether and which of the alleged hijackers boarded the four airliners. He received a JD from Harvard Law School, an MBA from Harvard Business School, and was a Fulbright Scholar and a Rhodes Scholar finalist.

Jason Bermas is an independent researcher, documentary filmmaker, and broadcaster who has spent more than two decades investigating the events of September 11, 2001, and their aftermath. His films include *Loose Change: Final Cut*; *Fabled Enemies*; *Invisible Empire*; and *Shade the Motion Picture*. Since 2003, he has advocated for a new, independent investigation through documentary filmmaking, public speaking, and appearances across both mainstream and independent media. He has interviewed numerous eyewitnesses, whistleblowers, public officials, and researchers regarding the events of September 11, 2001, and has assembled an extensive archive of news and documentary footage as well as thousands of primary source documents.

OUTLINE

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A Citizen-Led Investigation of 9/11 that Provides a Compelling Basis for a New, Full-Scale, Independent Investigation with Subpoena Power

PREAMBLE

The Aftermath and Lasting Impact of 9/11

- A. The Nation's Response to 9/11
- B. The Impact of 9/11 Today
- C. The Need to Re-examine 9/11

INTRODUCTION

Toward a New 9/11 Investigation

- A. The Genesis of This Report
- B. The Goal of This Report: A New, Full-Scale, and Independent 9/11 Investigation that Utilizes the Investigative Powers of Both Congress and a Federal Special Grand Jury
- C. The Thesis, Logic, and Structure of This Report

PART I

The Failure of the U.S. Government to Properly Investigate the Seminal Event of the 21st Century

Chapter 1: Prior U.S. Government Agency Investigations into 9/11 Were Critically Defective

- A. Obstruction of Proper Investigative Procedures
- B. Failures of Official Investigations and Reports
- C. Illegal and Unjustified Secrecy Regarding the Joint Congressional Inquiry Report and Investigation

Chapter 2: The 9/11 Commission's Structural Deficiencies, Conflicts of Interest, and De Facto Control by the U.S. Intelligence Community Ensured a Preferred Narrative and Prevented a Real Investigation

- A. Overview: "The Cover-Up Commission"
- B. The Context in Which the 9/11 Commission Was Created and Its Structural Deficiencies
- C. The Conflicts of Interest and Conduct of Executive Director Philip Zelikow

- D. The 9/11 Commission Was De Facto Controlled by the U.S. Intelligence Community
- E. Key U.S. Officials Lied to, Deceived, or Stonewalled the 9/11 Commission with Limited or No Repercussions
- F. Areas of Evidence Ignored or Undeveloped by the 9/11 Commission

PART II

The Explosive Demolition of the World Trade Center Twin Towers and Building 7

Chapter 3: The U.S. Government's Fire-Induced Collapse Hypothesis Is Demonstrably False

- A. Based on the Historical Record, Controlled Demolition Is Highly Probable Whereas Fire-Induced Collapse Is Highly Improbable
- B. The Fire-Induced Collapse Hypothesis for the Twin Towers Is Demonstrably False Because It Fails to Account for Basic Observations
- C. NIST's 'Probable Collapse Sequence' for the Twin Towers Lacks Evidentiary Support, Relies on Spurious Analysis, and Is Physically Impossible
- D. There Is No Widely Accepted Fire-Induced Collapse Hypothesis for Building 7
- E. NIST's 'Probable Collapse Sequence' for Building 7 Lacks Evidentiary Support, Relies on Spurious Analysis, and Is Physically Impossible
- F. Two Independent Computer Modeling Studies Conclude Fire-Induced Collapse of Building 7 Is Impossible
- G. NIST Systematically Avoided Direct Evidence of Explosive Demolition

Chapter 4: Dispositive Evidence for the Explosive Demolition of the Twin Towers

- A. Explosions Consistent with Explosive Demolition
- B. Collapse Dynamics Consistent with Explosive Demolition
- C. Extreme Heat and High-Tech Incendiaries Indicative of Explosive Demolition

Chapter 5: Dispositive Evidence for the Explosive Demolition of Building 7

- A. Explosions Consistent with Explosive Demolition
- B. Collapse Dynamics Consistent with Explosive Demolition
- C. Extreme Heat and Incendiaries Indicative of Explosive Demolition
- D. Foreknowledge of Collapse Indicative of a Plan to Demolish Building 7

PART III

Scrutiny of the Alleged Hijacking Events and the Alleged Perpetrators of Those Events

Chapter 6: U.S. and Allied Intelligence Services' Knowledge, Support, and Facilitation of the Principal Alleged Hijackers

- A. Overview: Incompetence or Intention?
- B. The Official Claim by U.S. Intelligence Leadership of Ignorance, Incompetence, and Surprise
- C. Important Information Regarding the Principal Alleged Hijackers and Planners
- D. Evidence that U.S. Intelligence Had Directly or Indirectly Surveilled at Least Five of the Six Principal Alleged Hijackers Before They Arrived in the U.S. and Had Attempted to Recruit Their Associates
- E. Evidence that Certain U.S. Intelligence Officials Knew by March 2000 that Two of the Principal Alleged Hijackers—Whom They Knew Were al-Qaeda Associates—Were in the U.S. But Did Not Follow Protocol of Alerting Other Government Agencies and Officials
- F. Evidence that Multiple U.S. Intelligence Agencies Had Identified and Monitored the Principal Alleged Hijackers in the U.S.
- G. Evidence that Numerous Individuals Who Worked Secretly on Behalf of the U.S. and Saudi Governments Actively Supported at Least Two of the Principal Alleged Hijackers—Known al-Qaeda Associates—in the U.S.
- H. Evidence that Many Associates of the Principal Alleged Hijackers in the U.S. Were Either Under U.S. Government Surveillance or Themselves U.S. Government Informants
- I. Evidence that Key U.S. Intelligence Officials Took Measures that Prevented the Principal Alleged Hijackers from Being Detained in the U.S. Prior to September 11
- J. Evidence that Key U.S. Officials Took Action After the Attacks to Prevent the Truth About U.S. Intelligence Prior Knowledge, Support, and Facilitation of the Principal Alleged Hijackers from Becoming Public
- K. Senior U.S. Intelligence and Counterterrorism Leaders Have Publicly Accused Other Key Officials of—at a Minimum—Breaking U.S. Laws and Not Following Protocols that Would Have Likely Prevented the Events of 9/11
- L. Evidence of Other Actions Taken by U.S. and Allied Government Officials to Potentially Facilitate the Alleged Hijackers or Prevent Their Detection in the U.S.

Chapter 7: Available Evidence Creates Substantial Doubt that the Alleged Hijackers Boarded, Commandeered, and Flew the Four Airliners as Officially Alleged

- A. Available Evidence Creates Substantial Doubt that the Four Alleged Pilot Hijackers and at Least Five of the Alleged Non-Pilot Hijackers Actually Boarded the Four Airliners

- B. Available Evidence Creates Substantial Doubt that the Alleged Takeover of the Four Airliners Occurred as Officially Alleged
- C. Available Evidence Creates Substantial Doubt that the Alleged Hijackers Actually Piloted the Aircraft that Struck the Buildings

Chapter 8: Available Evidence Creates Substantial Doubt that the Failure of U.S. Air Defenses to Intercept the Hijacked Airliners on 9/11 Was Unintentional

- A. Military Exercises Taking Place on September 11, 2001
- B. Timeline of Events and Shifting Accounts Provided by NORAD for the Failure to Intercept the Hijacked Airliners
- C. Whereabouts and Actions of Senior White House, Pentagon, and FAA Officials
- D. Key Actions and Inactions that Prevented the Interception of Hijacked Airliners

PART IV

The 2001 Anthrax Attacks and Their Connection to 9/11

Chapter 9: Key Facts Regarding the 2001 Anthrax Attacks

- A. The 2001 Anthrax Attacks Came on the Heels of 9/11
- B. Government Officials and Others Initially Blamed the Anthrax Attacks on Al-Qaeda
- C. Some of the Initial Targets and Victims of the Anthrax Attacks Had Ties to Some of the Alleged 9/11 Hijackers
- D. Senior U.S. Officials Were Given Cipro, an Anthrax Treatment Antibiotic, Prior to the Anthrax Attacks
- E. Two U.S. Senators Who Were Slowing Down Passage of the Patriot Act Were Targeted with Letters Containing Lethal Amounts of Anthrax
- F. The Anthrax Found in the Anthrax Attack Letters Was Determined to be a Highly Processed, Very Sophisticated U.S. Military Version of Anthrax

Chapter 10: The FBI Engaged in a Cover-Up Regarding the 2001 Anthrax Attacks

- A. The FBI Knowingly Misrepresented that the Attack Anthrax Came from U.S. Military Scientist Bruce Ivins' Lab at Fort Detrick When the FBI Had Evidence Showing the Attack Anthrax Came from the Army's Dugway Proving Ground
- B. The FBI Misled Congress Regarding the 2001 Anthrax Attacks and the FBI's Investigation

PART V

Road Map for Further Investigation

Chapter 11: Available Evidence Indicates the 9/11 Attacks Were a False Flag Military-Intelligence Operation and Not a Surprise Attack by Islamic Fundamentalists

Chapter 12: Potential Persons with Material Information Regarding Key Elements of the 9/11 Attacks

- A. Who Had the Ability to Demolish the World Trade Center Twin Towers and Building 7?
- B. Who Was Responsible for the Destruction of Evidence at the World Trade Center Site?
- C. Who Provided Support for and Protection of the Alleged Hijackers and Osama bin Laden?
- D. Who Was Capable of Remotely Guiding Aircraft with Precision in U.S. Airspace?
- E. Who Was Responsible for the Failure of U.S. Air Defenses?

Chapter 13: Persons with Material Information Regarding Potential Foreknowledge of the 9/11 Attacks

Chapter 14: Records that the Federal Government Should Immediately Disclose or that Should Be Subpoenaed

- A. 9/11 Commission Records
- B. Joint Congressional Inquiry Records
- C. FBI PENTTBOM Investigation Records
- D. FBI Major Bombing Cases Records (World Trade Center and Pentagon)
- E. Law Enforcement Records (Federal and State)
- F. Intelligence Agency Records
- G. Military/DOD Records
- H. FAA Records
- I. Other Federal Civilian Agency Records
- J. Airline Records
- K. Other Private Corporation Records

AFTERWORD

The Path to Restoring Trust in and Establishing Citizen Control of Government