From: English, Michelle
Subject: RE: Letter to Dr. U m
Date: Ju y 20, 2022 at 11:46 AM
To:
Cc: Hoke, Tara

ME

Dear Mr. Szamboti,

Thank you for your message. Dr. Ulm has reviewed your request for an official appeal of the decline decision on EMENG-6732. Your appeal request is denied. The editor believes that the technical rebuttal commentary you provided is irrelevant based upon technical merit. Dr. Ulm also has responded to your query about his level of involvement in your appeal to the EMI Board of Governors in 2013. He affirms that he did not participate in and was not involved in any decision processes regarding any previous submissions by the authors.

Best,

Michelle English
Executive Editor
American Society of Civ

From:

Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2022 1:01 AM

To: English, Michelle

Cc: Hoke, Tara

Subject: RE: Letter to Dr. Ulm

Michelle,

Could you please provide us with an update on Dr. Ulm's review of our appeal?

Regards,

Anthony Szamboti

From:

Sent: Thursday, July 7, 2022 8:53 PM

To: 'English, Michelle'

Cc: 'Hoke, Tara'

Subject: RE: Letter to Dr. Ulm

Michelle,

Has Dr. Ulm decided how he will handle our appeal?

We asked for this to be decided upon within two weaks from the submission of our appeal. It has

now been three weeks.

Regards,

Anthony Szamboti

From: English, Michelle

Sent: Friday, June 17, 2022 3:21 PM

To:

Cc: Hoke, Tara

Subject: RE: Letter to Dr. Ulm

Hi Mr. Szamboti,

Thank you for your message. I'm confirming that I'm sending this on to Dr. Ulm. I'll be back in touch with you once he's had an opportunity to review your letter and give thought to the appeal.

Best,

Michelle English Executive Editor American Society of Civil Engineers

From:

Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2022 6:22 AM

To: English, Michelle

Cc: Hoke, Tara

Subject: Letter to Dr. Ulm

Mimecast Attachment Protection has deemed this file to be safe, but always exercise caution when opening files.

Michelle,

Attached is a letter from Dr. Johns and myself directly addressed to Dr. Ulm, with both Tara and you copied. Also attached is our rebuttal to the criticisms of reviewer #2 that are discussed in the letter. Please forward both to Dr. Ulm.

We would like to know within two weeks if Dr. Ulm will be performing a review that complies with the agreement intended to resolve the ethics complaint, or if someone else will be.

We would also like to know within the next two weeks if Dr. Ulm participated in the EMI board's review of our appeal in 2013.

Regards,

Anthony Szamboti